

SEP 13 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CARLOS DESCALSI-SANCHEZ,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-71160

Agency No. A79-796-283

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 11, 2006 ^{**}

Before: PREGERSON, T.G. NELSON, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Carlos Descalsi-Sanchez, a native and citizen of Peru, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his applications for asylum,

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

withholding of removal, and protection under the Convention Against Torture (“CAT”). We dismiss the petition for review.

Descalsi-Sanchez’s sole contention is that the IJ refused to allow him to clarify his testimony as to the source of his alleged persecution in Peru. We lack jurisdiction to review this contention because he failed to raise it before the BIA, where he was represented by counsel, and thereby failed to exhaust his administrative remedies. *See Barron v. Ashcroft*, 358 F.3d 674, 678 (9th Cir. 2004); *Zara v. Ashcroft*, 383 F.3d 927, 930 (9th Cir. 2004) (noting that a “petitioner cannot satisfy the exhaustion requirement by making a general challenge to the IJ’s decision, but, rather, must specify which issues form the basis of the appeal”).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.